

## Open Educational Resources Research Grant Rubric

	1 - Poor	< ---- >	5 - Excellent	Your Score
<b>Background &amp; Rationale</b>	Lacks clarity; missing or minimal references; weak connection to prior research.		Strong scholarly foundation with clear, concise integration of references and relevance to field.	
<b>Goals &amp; Significance</b>	Objectives are unclear or absent; lacks discussion of significance.		Clearly defined, innovative goals with strong justification of impact.	
<b>Research Questions &amp; Hypothesis</b>	Research questions or hypothesis are missing or unclear.		Strong, precise, and well-integrated research questions or hypothesis.	
<b>Methodology &amp; Approach</b>	No clear methodology; lacks coherence or feasibility.		Methodology is robust, well-explained, and appropriate for research questions.	
<b>Potential Challenges &amp; Contingency Plans</b>	No mention of challenges or plans for mitigation.		Comprehensive risk assessment with strong, proactive contingency plans.	
<b>Timeline &amp; Adjustments</b>	Lacks a timeline or is overly vague; no mention of managing delays.		Detailed, realistic timeline with strong flexibility for adjustments.	
<b>Student Involvement</b>	<i>***No mention of student participation = N/A</i>		Strong student integration with clear, significant scholarly benefits.	
<b>Collaboration &amp; Future Work</b>	No mention of collaboration or future work.		Strong, well-defined leadership roles and sustainable collaboration plan.	
<b>Evaluation &amp; Success Metrics</b>	No success metrics provided.		Strong, measurable success criteria with appropriate academic benchmarks.	
<b>Budget &amp; Justification</b>	Budget missing or lacks justification.		Well-structured, fully justified budget with strong rationale for expenditures.	

